

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,015	02/07/2001	Jun Suzuki	Q62980	6229
7590 12/18/2003 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			LETSCHER, GEORGE J	
	OC 20037-3202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-			2653	16
			DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

12

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/778,015	SUZUKI ET AL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	George J. Letscher	2653					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated to the period by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of to dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mutte, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this cor  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	November 2003.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde			merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are with	drawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	•	-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	led Office Action or form PTC	J-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received. ents have been received in	Application No	Stane				
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a li  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the  37 CFR 1.78.	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ist of the certified copies nestic priority under 35 U.S.(	ot received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application)				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	stic priority under 35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a					
Attachment(s)	4\	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s					
1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) D Notice of	w Summary (P10-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-					

Application/Control Number: 09/778,015 Page 2

Art Unit: 2653

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/13/03 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shinozuka et al '728.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,015 Page 3

Art Unit: 2653

Claims 3-5 recite the following elements, inter alia, disclosed in Shinozuka et al '728: a lens device (1) having an objective lens (2) and drive coils (12, 13) fixed to the lens holder (3); a plurality of wire-form elastic members (6) made of metal which energize the drive coils. The lens holder is made of resin and integral with connection wires 48 via adhesive; see column 12, lines 10-26. This connects the wires 6 to the drive coils 12,13 and the lens holder. The term "molding" can only be accorded weight to the extent that it/they affect(s) the structure of the completed lens device. Two ends of the lens holder are exposed so that they are connection terminals to the drive coils. The lens holder and suspension base are integral and made of resin. The lens and drive coils are fixed on the lens holder. See Figures 2-3 & 7-8 of Shinozuka et al '728.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that

Application/Control Number: 09/778,015

Art Unit: 2653

the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinozuka et al '728 in view of Applicant's Prior Art in Figure 1 and pages 7-9 of the specification.

The description of Shinozuka et al '728 is in paragraph 3, supra.

Regarding claim 1, Shinozuka et al '728 do not teach an end of the wireform elastic members buried within each of the fixing arms.

Applicant's Prior Art of Figure 1 and pages 7-9 of the specification shows an end of the wire-form elastic members (6a-d) buried within each of the fixing arms (9); see Figure 1 of Applicant's Prior Art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have furnished the optical disc lens drive device having wire-form elastic members integrally connected with fixing arms as shown by Shinozuka et al '728 with an end of the wire-form elastic members buried within each of the fixing arms as taught by Applicant's Prior Art of Figure 1 and pages 7-9 of the specification. The rationale is as follows: one of

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/778,015

Art Unit: 2653

ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have furnished the optical disc lens drive device having wire-form elastic members integrally connected with fixing arms as shown by Shinozuka et al '728 with an end of the wire-form elastic members buried within each of the fixing arms as taught by Applicant's Prior Art of Figure 1 and pages 7-9 of the specification since one of ordinary skill in the art recognized that the wires stably supported the moving unit while feeding the drive current to the focusing coil and tracking coils and provided enhanced operational reliability in the lens drive unit.

## Response to Amendment

7. Applicant's arguments filed 11/13/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Examiner's response regarding unchanged claims 2-5 is maintained in this amendment of claim 1.

Art Unit: 2653

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Letscher whose telephone number is (703) 305-7912.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

George Letscher December 13, 2003

> Géorge Letscher Primary Examiner AU 2653